

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
LIBRARY

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY

WASHINGTON SQUARE COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE
25 Waverly Place, New York 3, N. Y.

MATHEMATICS RESEARCH GROUP

RESEARCH REPORT No. EM-36

EM 36

PARALLEL REFLECTION OF LIGHT BY PLANE MIRRORS

by

Joseph B. Keller

The research reported in this document has been made possible through support and sponsorship extended by the GEOPHYSICS RESEARCH DIVISION of the Air Force Cambridge Research Center, AMC, under Contract No. AF-19(122)-42. It is published for technical information only and does not represent recommendations or conclusions of the sponsoring agency.

October, 1951

EM-36
C 1

New York University
Washington Square College of Arts and Science
Mathematics Research Group
Research Report No. EM-36

PARALLEL REFLECTION OF LIGHT BY PLANE MIRRORS

by
Joseph B. Keller

Written by:

Joseph B. Keller

Joseph B. Keller

Morris Kline

Morris Kline
Project Director

Title page
6 numbered pages
October, 1951

Abstract

All configurations of two or three mirrors meeting at a point and having the property that any ray incident on them is reflected back parallel to its original direction, are determined. The results include the well-known cases of two and three mutually orthogonal mirrors, as well as other cases, apparently new. It is also shown that there are no configurations of more than three mirrors meeting at a point, which have this property. The results generalize those of Synge who showed that the mutually orthogonal case was the only three mirror configuration having the parallel reflection property, provided every ray strikes each mirror exactly once. The new configurations occur if the number of reflections is not restricted.

1. Introduction

If three plane mirrors are mutually orthogonal then, as is well known in geometrical optics, any¹ ray incident on these mirrors in the octant which they bound will be reflected once from each mirror and will emerge parallel to its original direction. Similarly any ray incident on two orthogonal plane mirrors, in the quadrant which they bound and in the plane perpendicular to them, will be reflected once from each mirror and will emerge parallel to its original direction. It is interesting to investigate whether there are other configurations of plane mirrors which also have this property of parallel reflection.

For two mirrors, with the incident ray in the plane perpendicular to them, the answer is easily found although it does not seem to be well known. It is this: if and only if the mirrors meet at an angle $\frac{\pi}{n}$, where n is any even integer, every ray will emerge parallel to its original direction. Furthermore every ray suffers n reflections; for example, two in the case of orthogonal mirrors discussed above.

For three mirrors meeting at a point Synge² has shown that if every ray is reflected exactly once from each mirror every ray will emerge parallel to its original direction if and only if the mirrors are mutually orthogonal. However, in view of our above result for two mirrors, it is reasonable to drop the restriction that every ray be reflected exactly once from each mirror. We then find that there are indeed other configurations of three mirrors meeting at a point which yield parallel reflection for every incident ray. These are the configurations in which the sets of dihedral angles are $(\pi/2, \pi/3, \pi/4)$, $(\pi/2, \pi/3, \pi/5)$ and $(\pi/2, \pi/2, \pi/n)$ where n is an even integer. The numbers of reflections suffered by any ray are 9, 15 and $n + 1$ respectively. The case $(\pi/2, \pi/2, \pi/n)$ with $n = 2$ is the case of mutually orthogonal mirrors, in which case each ray is reflected three times. Furthermore, these are the only configurations of three or more mirrors meeting at a point which have the parallel reflection property.

In section II we formulate the problem, and in section III we deduce the result described above.

-
1. Rays which intersect an edge or vertex or are parallel to one or two mirrors are excluded. The latter rays do emerge parallel to their original directions although they suffer only two or one reflections, respectively, not being reflected from the mirrors to which they are parallel.
 2. J. L. Synge, Reflection in a Corner Formed by Three Plane Mirrors, Quarterly of Applied Math., 4, 166-176 (1946).

2. Formulation

Suppose three planes meet at a single point and that one side of each plane is designated as the reflecting side. Then one and only one of the eight regions into which the planes divide space will be on the reflecting side of all three mirrors. Any oriented straight line in this region which can be extended indefinitely in its negative direction without intersecting a plane is called an incident ray¹. If an incident ray intersects a plane it is reflected according to the law of reflection; the reflected ray may be again reflected, etc. If a reflected ray can be extended indefinitely in its positive direction without intersecting a plane, it is called a final reflected ray. The problem we consider is that of finding all configurations of three planes meeting at a point with the property that every final reflected ray is parallel to the incident ray from which it originates.

First, following Synge, we shall reformulate the problem in terms of reflection of points on the surface of a sphere. To this end we construct a sphere with center at the meeting point of the planes. The surface of the sphere intersects the planes in great circles. The trihedral region on the reflecting sides of all three planes cuts out on the sphere a spherical triangle T , which is bounded by the three great circles. We represent a ray by the point of intersection, with the sphere, of a parallel infinite line through the center. Since a straight line through the center intersects the sphere twice the more negative of the two points is chosen. Thus all parallel rays with the same orientation are represented by the same point, while antiparallel rays are represented by antipodal points. It is clear that all incident rays, defined above, are represented by points in the spherical triangle T .

Now suppose that a ray, represented by the point P , is reflected in a plane, represented by the great circle A . Then the reflected ray is represented by a point \bar{P} which is obtained by reflecting P in A . This can easily be seen if the point of reflection is transported to the center of the sphere. The ray from P to the center is the incident ray; the ray from \bar{P} to the center is the negative extension of the reflected ray.

Since, by definition, only one side of each plane is reflecting a point P must lie on the reflecting side of a great circle A in order to be reflectable in A . We will call a reflection of P in A admissible if P does lie on the reflecting side of A . The reflecting side of A is the side or hemisphere containing the spherical triangle T , according to the definition of T . Now a ray emerges, or is a final reflected ray in accordance with the definition above, only when it is not reflect-

able in any plane. Thus a point P represents a final reflected ray only if it lies on the non-reflecting side of each plane. But every point which lies in all three hemispheres not containing T must lie in the spherical Triangle T' antipodal to T. We can now formulate our problem as that of finding all spherical triangles T with the property that every sequence of admissible reflections of any point P in T leads to the antipodal point P' in T', since P' represents a final ray parallel to the incident ray represented by P.

3. Solution

Let us suppose that T is a triangle with the desired property and that P_1 and P_2 are two points in T. We first want to prove that if an admissible sequence of reflections R_1 carries P_1 into some point P, and an admissible sequence of reflections R_2 carries P_2 into the same point P, then P_1 and P_2 are identical. In other words, no two distinct points can have a common image under admissible sequences of reflections. To prove this assertion we note that, by hypothesis, every sequence of admissible reflections of a point of T terminates on the antipodal point. Thus, in particular, the sequence R_1 which carries P_1 into P can be continued by a sequence of admissible reflections which we shall call S_1 so that $R_1 + S_1$ carries P_1 into P'_1 , the antipodal point. But then S_1 carries P into P'_1 . Therefore $R_2 + S_1$ carries P_2 through P into P'_1 . Since this is an admissible sequence of reflections, it must by hypothesis terminate on P'_2 . Therefore P'_1 is identical with P'_2 and so P_1 is identical with P_2 .

Now let us consider the neighborhood of a vertex of T of vertex angle α . We wish to consider successive reflections of a point of T in the two sides meeting at the vertex. We introduce polar coordinates with the vertex as origin and one side as polar axis. If ϕ is the polar angle the other side is given by $\phi = \alpha$. If $\phi = \theta$ is the angular coordinate of a point of T, its image after n successive reflections in the sides 0 and α will have the coordinate $\phi_n(\theta)$ given by:

$$\phi_n(\theta) = \begin{matrix} n\alpha + \theta & n \text{ even} \\ -(n-1)\alpha - \theta & n \text{ odd} \end{matrix} \quad \text{if the first reflection is in side 0.}$$

1)

$$\phi_n(\theta) = \begin{matrix} (n-1)\alpha - \theta & n \text{ odd} \\ -n\alpha + \theta & n \text{ even} \end{matrix} \quad \text{if the first reflection is in side } \alpha.$$

These reflections are admissible only until the image falls in the vertical angle π to $\pi + \alpha$ (or $-\pi$ to $-\pi + \alpha$) since then the image is on the non-reflecting side of both mirrors meeting at the vertex. [To prove the result stated previously for two mirrors, we set $\beta_n = \pi + \theta$ or $-\pi + \theta$ and find $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{n}$ with n even.]

From the expressions in Eq. 1 it is not difficult to show that some image of every point will lie in the vertical angle π to $\pi + \alpha$. Let us consider the least value of n for which the image of any point of the original angle lies in the vertex angle. The sequence of admissible reflections which carries a particular point into the vertex angle in this number of reflections is necessarily an admissible sequence for all points in the original angle. Therefore the image of the original angular region under this sequence is another angular region which overlaps the vertex angle. If this overlapping is complete, then one may deduce, as above, that $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{n}$ where n is an odd or even integer. If the overlapping is incomplete the image covers an edge of the vertex angle. The points outside this edge may then be reflected in it, and some of them will fall on already covered points. Therefore distinct points of the original angle will have a common image, contradicting the theorem above. Thus the overlapping must be complete and therefore, we conclude that each vertex angle is of the form $\frac{\pi}{n}$, where n is an integer, not necessarily the same for all vertices. Combining this result with the fact that the sum of the interior angles of a spherical triangle exceeds π , we obtain the inequality

$$2) \quad \frac{\pi}{n_1} + \frac{\pi}{n_2} + \frac{\pi}{n_3} > \pi$$

The only solutions of this equation are:

$$3) \quad \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{3}\right), \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{4}\right), \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{5}\right), \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{n}\right)$$

Thus the only possible triangles having the desired property are included here since these solutions were obtained by imposing necessary conditions.

By examining the admissible reflections of these triangles on a sphere, we find that only the following actually do yield parallel reflection:

$$4) \quad \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{4}\right) \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{\pi}{5}\right) \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{n}\right) n \text{ even.}$$

The other triangles do map onto their antipodal triangles but with the sides interchanged; in other words, a point does not land on its antipode but on the antipode of its image in the principal altitude of the triangle. In the same process we find that the respective numbers of reflections are 9, 15 and $n + 1$. This completes the proof for the case of three mirrors.


For more than three mirrors all the considerations preceding equation 2 are valid, but the corresponding inequality has no integer solutions at all.

To prove only Syngé's result, which is included above, a much simpler method suffices. If a point arbitrarily near a vertex is reflected successively once in each of the sides meeting there the image remains arbitrarily close to the vertex. The third reflection must bring it to the antipode, an angular distance arbitrarily close to π radians. Thus the angular distance from the vertex to the third side is arbitrarily near $\frac{\pi}{2}$, and this applies to each side. Thus the triangle must be an octant of a sphere, which completes the necessity proof. The sufficiency is proved by reflecting an octant three times in its sides.

It should be pointed out that the spherical triangles obtained above are some of the solutions of Steiner's problem - to find spherical triangles which cover the sphere by reflections in their sides - and that they are related to the finite groups of reflections, the regular solids, etc.

The new parallel reflecting configurations given herein may have practical value in some applications because they only reflect back those rays which are incident from a certain angular region, and the angular region may be made much smaller than that of the mutually orthogonal mirrors.

I wish to express my indebtedness to my colleague, Prof. Wilhelm Magnus, who supplied a crucial part of the necessity proof given above.



NYU

EM-

36

Keller

AUTHOR

TITLE

Parallel reflection
of light by plane
mirrors.

DATE DUE

NYU

EM-

36

Keller

Parallel reflection of
light by plane mirrors.

Date Due

